arXiv:1104.0388v1 [cs.FL] 3 Apr 2011

On Non-Complete Sets and Restivo's Conjecture

Vladimir V. Gusev, Elena V. Pribavkina

Ural State University, Lenina st. 51, 620083, Ekaterinburg, Russia
`vl.gusev@gmail.com, elena.pribavkina@usu.ru`

Abstract. A finite set S of words over the alphabet Σ is called non-complete if $\mathit{Fact}(S^*) \neq \Sigma^*$. A word $w \in \Sigma^* \setminus \mathit{Fact}(S^*)$ is said to be uncompletable. We present a series of non-complete sets S_k whose minimal uncompletable words have length $5k^2 - 17k + 13$, where $k \geq 4$ is the maximal length of words in S_k. This is an infinite series of counterexamples to Restivo's conjecture, which states that any non-complete set possesses an uncompletable word of length at most $2k^2$.

1 Introduction

Let Σ be a finite alphabet. A finite set S of words over the alphabet Σ is called *complete* if $\mathit{Fact}(S^*) = \Sigma^*$, i.e. every word over the alphabet Σ is a factor of a word of S^*. If S is not complete, $\Sigma^* \setminus \mathit{Fact}(S^*)$ is not empty and a word in this set of minimal length is called a *minimal uncompletable word* (with respect to the non-complete set S). Its length will be denoted by $\mathit{uwl}(S)$.

The problem of finding minimal uncompletable words and their length was introduced in 1981 by Restivo. In his paper [5] he conjectured that a non-complete set S always possesses an uncompletable word w of length at most $2k^2$, where k is the maximal length of words in S, and w is of the form $w = uv_1uv_2 \cdots uv_{k-1}u$, where $u \notin S$, $|u| = k$ and $|v_i| \leq k$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$. An example giving a lower bound $k^2 + k - 1$ for the length of minimal uncompletable words was presented in [3]. However Restivo's conjecture appeared to be false by means of a counterexample found in [2]. Namely, let $k > 6$ and let $R_k = \Sigma^k \setminus \{a^{k-2}bb\} \cup \Sigma ba^{k-4}\Sigma \cup \Sigma ba \cup b^4 \cup J_k$, where $J_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-3}(ba^i\Sigma \cup a^ib)$. In [2] the authors computed for $7 \leq k \leq 12$ that the length of a minimal uncompletable word for R_k is equal to $3k^2 - 9k + 1$ but were unable to prove it in general.

In this paper we present a new series of non-complete sets S_k whose minimal uncompletable words have length $5k^2 - 17k + 13$ for $k \geq 4$.

As far as the upper bound is concerned, only trivial exponential one is known. More precisely, the length of a minimal uncompletable word is at most $2^{\|S\|-m+1}$, where m is the number of elements in S and $\|S\|$ is the sum of lengths of all elements in S. It comes from the connection between non-complete sets and synchronizing automata studied in [3]. However this bound is not likely to be precise.

An interesting related question of deciding whether a given regular language L satisfies one of the properties $\Sigma^* = \mathit{Fact}(L)$, $\Sigma^* = \mathit{Pref}(L)$, $\Sigma^* = \mathit{Suff}(L)$ has been recently considered by Rampersad et al. in [4], where the computational complexity of the aforementioned problems in case L is represented by a deterministic or non-deterministic finite automaton is studied. In particular case $L = S^*$ for S being a finite set of words the authors mention that the complexity of deciding whether or not $\Sigma^* = \mathit{Fact}(S^*)$ is still an open problem.

2 The set S_k

To fix the notation, let us recall some basic definitions from combinatorics on words. By $|w|$ we denote the *length* of a word w. The length of the *empty word* ε is equal to zero. By Σ^+ we denote the set of all non-empty words over the alphabet Σ; by Σ^k – the set of all words of length k over Σ and by $\Sigma^{\leq k}$ – the set of all words of length at most k over Σ. A word $u \in \Sigma^+$ is a *factor* of w (*prefix* or *suffix* respectively) if w can be decomposed as $w = xuy$ ($w = uy$ or $w = xu$ respectively) for some $x, y \in \Sigma^*$. A factor (prefix, suffix) u of w is called *proper* if $u \neq w$. Given a word $u = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n \in \Sigma^+$ by $u[i \dots j]$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we denote the factor $a_ia_{i+1} \cdots a_j$ if $i \leq j$, and the empty word if $i > j$. Moreover, we put $u[0] = \varepsilon$.

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. Consider the set

$$S_k = \left(\Sigma^k \setminus \{ba^{k-1}, b^{k-1}a\}\right) \cup \left(\Sigma^{k-1} \setminus \{a^{k-1}, b^{k-1}\}\right).$$

In section 3 we show that this set is not complete for $k \geq 4$ and possesses an uncompletable word of length $5k^2 - 17k + 13$. In section 4 we show that this upper bound is precise. Our results considerably rely upon the notion of a *forbidden position* in a word. This notion was introduced in [3]. Let S be any non-complete set and let w be an uncompletable word for the set S. We say that $0 \leq j \leq |w| - 1$ is a forbidden position in w with respect to S, if $w[j+1, \dots, |w|] \notin \mathit{Pref}(S^*)$, i.e. the suffix of the word w starting from position j is not a prefix of any word in S^*. If the set S is clear from context we will omit reference to S. Note that, if $S \subseteq \Sigma^{\leq k}$ and positions $0, 1, \dots, k-1$ are forbidden in some word w, then w is uncompletable for S. So to prove that a set $S \subseteq \Sigma^{\leq k}$ is not complete, it is enough to find a word with first k forbidden positions.

Lemma 1. *Let $j \geq k$ be a forbidden position in a word w with respect to S_k. Then the position $j - k$ is forbidden in w with respect to S_k iff either $j - 1$ is forbidden or $w[j-k+1, \dots, j-1] \in \{a^{k-1}, b^{k-1}\}$.*

Proof. Let $j - k$ be forbidden in w. Then by definition $w[j-k+1, \dots, |w|] \notin \mathit{Pref}(S_k^*)$. Suppose $j - 1$ is not forbidden in w, i.e. $w[j, \dots, |w|] = x \in \mathit{Pref}(S_k^*)$. If the factor $y = w[j-k+1, \dots, j-1]$ of length $k - 1$ is in S_k, then $w[j-k+1, \dots, |w|] = yx \in \mathit{Pref}(S_k^*)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $y \in \Sigma^{k-1} \setminus S_k = \{a^{k-1}, b^{k-1}\}$.

Conversely, arguing by contradiction suppose $j - k$ is not forbidden. Then since the length of the suffix $w[j-k+1, \dots, |w|]$ is at least k, it can be factorized as xy where $x \in S_k$ and $y \in \mathit{Pref}(S_k^*)$. The case $|x| = k$ contradicts the condition that j is forbidden, since we get $w[j{+}1,\ldots,|w|] = y \in \mathit{Pref}(S_k^*)$. Hence $|x| = k{-}1$ and since $x \in S_k$ we have that x is different both from a^{k-1} and b^{k-1}. But then position $j-1$ is not forbidden, which is a contradiction.

In the rest of the paper we strictly fix the following notation: $u = ba^{k-1}$ and $v = b^{k-1}a$. We will consider forbidden positions only in occurrences of u and v in w. In each such occurrence for convenience we will enumerate forbidden positions locally from 0 to $k-1$.

Example 1. Consider the following word:

$$w = \underbrace{'b'a'a}_{\{0,1,2\}}\; a'b\underbrace{'b\,\overbrace{b'aa}^{\{1\}}}_{\{0,2\}}\,a\;\underbrace{'bba}_{\{0\}}\,.$$

Using definition and lemma 1 it is easy to calculate the set of its forbidden positions with respect to S_3: $\{0,1,2,4,5,7,10\}$. There are two occurrences of u and two occurrences of v in w (the first occurrence of v overlaps with the second occurrence of u). Locally enumerated sets of forbidden positions are: $\{0,1,2\}$ in the first occurrence of u, $\{1\}$ in the second occurrence of u, $\{0,2\}$ in the first occurrence of v, and $\{0\}$ in the second occurrence of v. Note that, since first three positions are forbidden in w, this word is uncompletable for S_3.

Position 0 may be forbidden in an occurrence of u or v. The following statement gives necessary and sufficient conditions for this to happen. It is an easy consequence of lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *Position 0 is forbidden in an occurrence of u in a word w iff position $k-1$ is forbidden in the same occurrence of u. Position 0 is forbidden in any occurrence of v in w.*

Two occurrences $p, q \in \{u, v\}$ in a word w are said to be *consecutive* if they either overlap or are the only occurrences from $\{u, v\}$ in the factor pxq of w.

Lemma 3. *Let $p, q \in \{u, v\}$ be two consecutive occurrences without overlap in a word w, and let pxq be a factor of w with $|x| \geq 0$. Let F_p and F_q be the sets of forbidden positions in p and q respectively. Then*

$$F_p \subseteq \{j + |x| \mod k \mid j \in F_q\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Proof. Consider a forbidden position i in p such that $i \neq 0$ and consider the factor y of length multiple of k in w from position i in p to some position j in q $(0 \leq j < k)$. This factor is in S_k^+, since p and q are consecutive occurrences of words from $\Sigma^k \setminus S_k$. Thus, if position $j \notin F_q$, then neither position i is forbidden. On the one hand, we have $|y| \equiv 0 \mod k$, on the other hand $|y| = k - i + |x| + j$, hence $i \equiv j + |x| \mod k$.

Note that, two words from $\Sigma^k \setminus S_k$ overlap only in case of v and u. More precisely, two last letters of v overlap with first two letter of u leading to the word $b^{k-1}a^{k-1}$. The following statement can be easily proved using the same argument as in the previous lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let v and u be two consecutive overlapping occurrences in a word w, and let F_v and F_u be the corresponding sets of forbidden positions. Then*

$$F_v \subseteq \{j-2 \mod k \mid j \in F_u\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Previous lemmas allow us to make the following observation. Let $p, q \in \{u, v\}$ be two consecutive occurrences in w. Then forbidden positions in p except 0 are inherited from forbidden positions in q, and position 0 may appear in F_p according to lemma 2. In our proofs we will trace backwards forbidden positions only in occurrences of words from $\Sigma^k \setminus S_k$ starting from the last one. Besides, the number of forbidden positions in consecutive occurrences increases by at most 1.

3 Upper bound for $uwl(S_k)$

In this section we prove that the set S_k is non-complete by presenting an uncompletable word w of length $5k^2 - 17k + 13$ for $k \geq 4$.

Theorem 1. *For $k \geq 4$ the set S_k is not complete and there exists an uncompletable word of length $5k^2 - 17k + 13$.*

Proof. For clarity by r we denote overlapping occurrences of v and u, i.e. $r = b^{k-1}a^{k-1}$. Consider the word $\omega = u \centerdot \prod_{i=1}^{k-3} (ra^i \centerdot b^{k-2-i} r \centerdot) v$, where $\centerdot$ can be replaced by any letter of the alphabet Σ. Let us enumerate occurrences of v counting backwards from the last one (the first occurrence of v in this order will have number 0), and let $F_v^i \subseteq \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ be the set of forbidden positions in the ith occurrence of v. Occurrences of u are counted in the same way (but starting from 1 instead of 0) and F_u^i are defined analogously. Note that, $F_v^0 = \{0\}$. Then using previous lemmas it is easy to see that $F_u^1 = \{1\}$ and $F_v^1 = \{0, k-1\}$. By lemma 3 we have $F_u^2 \subseteq \{0, k-2, k-1\}$ and by lemmas 1 and 2 we get $F_u^2 = \{0, k-2, k-1\}$. Analogously we obtain $F_v^2 = \{0, k-3, k-2\}$. Now assume $F_v^{2i} = \{0, k-i-2, \ldots, k-2\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-4$, and let us show that $F_v^{2(i+1)} = \{0, k-i-3, \ldots, k-2\}$. Applying step by step lemmas 1-4 we obtain the following sets of forbidden positions:

$$\begin{aligned} F_u^{2i+1} &= \{0, 1, k-i, \ldots, k-1\}, \\ F_v^{2i+1} &= \{0, k-i-1, \ldots, k-1\}, \\ F_u^{2i+2} &= \{0, k-i-2, \ldots, k-1\}, \\ F_v^{2i+2} &= \{0, k-i-3, \ldots, k-2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $i = k-3$ we have $F_v^{2(k-3)} = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-2\}$. Hence the set of forbidden positions in the last occurrence of u is $F_u^{2(k-3)+1} = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$, which means that the word ω is uncompletable. Its length equals $5k^2 - 17k + 13$.

4 Lower bound for $uwl(S_k)$

First we prove some nice properties of a minimal uncompletable word in S_k.

Theorem 2. *Consider a minimal uncompletable word w. Then u is a prefix of w and v is its suffix.*

Proof. The word w has either u or v as a factor, otherwise $w \in \mathit{Pref}((S_k \cap \Sigma^k)^*)$. Let $w = w'x$, where $|x| = k$, and suppose $x \neq v$. Let $x = x'z$, where $z \in \Sigma$. Since w is minimal, we conclude that $w'x' \in \mathit{Fact}(S_k^*)$, which means $rw'x' = qy$, for some $q \in S_k^*$ and $|y| \leq k-1$. If $|y| < k-1$, then $yz \in \mathit{Pref}(S_k)$, because all the words of length at most $k-1$ are prefixes of some words in S_k. If $|y| = k-1$, then $yz = x$. If $x \neq u$, then $yz \in S_k$, and $qyz = rw \in S_k^*$. If $x = u$, then $y = ba^{k-2}$, $z = a$ and we have for instance $rwa^{k-1} \in S_k^*$. In any case we get a contradiction with the fact that w is uncompletable. Thus, w has v as a suffix.

Now we are going to investigate one particular symmetry property of uncompletable words for S_k. It is trivial that the mirror image $\overleftarrow{S}$ of a non-complete set S is again non-complete. Moreover, mirror images $\overleftarrow{w}$ of uncompletable words w for S are uncompletable for $\overleftarrow{S}$. The same property holds true for renaming morphism: $\varphi(a) = b$ and $\varphi(b) = a$. Applying these statements to our set we get $T_k = \overleftarrow{S_k} = \varphi(S_k)$, where

$$T_k = \left(\Sigma^k \setminus \{a^{k-1}b, ab^{k-1}\}\right) \cup \left(\Sigma^{k-1} \setminus \{a^{k-1}, b^{k-1}\}\right).$$

So, if w is an uncompletable word for S_k, then $\varphi(\overleftarrow{w})$ is also uncompletable for S_k. As we have already shown, every minimal uncompletable word has v as a suffix. From the symmetry property it follows that every such word has u as a prefix.

The suffix v of a minimal uncompletable word w has only one forbidden position, namely 0, and in the prefix u of w all the positions from 0 to $k-1$ are forbidden. Thus, we have to analyze how forbidden positions change from one occurrence of a word from $\Sigma^k \setminus S_k$ to the next one.

Consider an arbitrary occurrence of a word from $\Sigma^k \setminus S_k$ in w. Let F be the set of its forbidden positions. We will make use of the following representation of F:

$$F = [f_{1,1}, f_{1,2}, \ldots, f_{1,m_1}; f_{2,1}, \ldots, f_{2,m_2}; \ldots; f_{n,1}, \ldots, f_{n,m_n}],$$

where $f_{i,j+1} \equiv f_{i,j} + 1 \mod k$, $f_{i+1,1} > f_{i,m_i}$ and $n \geq 1$. Simply speaking, we partition the set F into blocks of consecutive (with respect to cyclic order) forbidden positions.

Example 2. Consider the word ba^{10}, and let $F = \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 10\}$ be the set of its forbidden positions with respect to S_{11}. Then according to our representation $F = [5, 6; 8; 10, 0, 1, 2]$.

Theorem 3. *Let p and q be two consecutive occurrences of words from $\{u, v\}$ in a minimal uncompletable word w. Let F_p and F_q be the sets of forbidden positions in p and q respectively. If $|F_p| > |F_q|$, then one of the following holds true:*

(i) $p = q = u$, $F_p = \{0, k-j, \ldots, k-1\}$ *and* $F_q = \{1, \ldots, j\}$, *where* $1 \leq j \leq k-2$*;*

(ii) $p = v$, $q = u$, *these occurrences overlap,* $F_p = \{0, k-1\}$ *and* $F_q = \{1\}$*;*

(iii) $p = u$, $q = v$, $F_p = \{0, j-i-1, \ldots, k-1\}$, $F_q = \{0, \ldots, i, j, \ldots, k-1\}$, *where* $j \not\equiv i+1 \mod k$ *and there are* $k-1-i \mod k$ *letters between these occurrences;*

Proof. Let $F_q = [f_{1,1}, \ldots, f_{1,m_1}; \ldots; f_{n,1}, \ldots, f_{n,m_n}]$. First assume that p and q do not overlap, so let pxq be the corresponding factor of w.

Case 1. Let $p = q = u$. Consider the case $n \geq 2$. Then there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $f_{i,1} \geq 2$. If $f_{i,1} > 2$, then by lemma 1 position $f_{i,1} + |x| \notin F_p$. If $f_{i,1} = 2$, then by the same lemma $f_{i,1} + |x| \in F_p$ implies $x = x'b^{k-2}$. But then v is a factor of pxq which contradicts the fact that p and q are two consecutive occurrences from $\{u, v\}$. Thus, $f_{i,1} + |x|$ is not forbidden in p. From lemma 3 it follows that $F_p \subseteq \{j + |x| \mod k \mid j \in F_q\} \cup \{0\}$, whence $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$, a contradiction. Consequently $n = 1$ and $F_q = [f_{1,1}, \ldots, f_{1,m}]$. If $f_{1,1} \geq 2$, then following the same argument as above, we conclude that $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$, hence $f_{1,1} \in \{0, 1\}$. Note that, if $f_{1,1} = 0$, by lemma 2 we have $k-1 \in F_q$. It means that $F_q = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ which contradicts minimality of w. Thus $f_{1,1} = 1$. If $f_{1,m} = k-1$, then by lemma 2 we obtain that $0 \in F_q$ and in this case $f_{1,1}$ cannot be equal to 1. So $f_{1,m} \leq k-2$. Now it remains to prove that F_p has form as stated in (i). Since $|F_p| > |F_q|$, we have $0 \in F_p$. Then by lemma 2 we obtain that $k-1$ is also in F_p. So there exists a position $i \in F_q$ satisfying $i + |x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$. If $i < f_{1,m}$, then $i + 1 + |x| \equiv 0 \mod k$, hence $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$. Thus $i = f_{1,m}$, $|x| \equiv k - f_{1,m} - 1 \mod k$ and by lemma 3 we deduce $F_p = \{0, k - f_{1,m}, \ldots, k-1\}$.

Case 2. Let $p = v$, $q = u$. We are to show that either $f_{1,1} + |x|$ is not forbidden in p or $f_{1,1} + |x| \equiv 0 \mod k$, so in both cases $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$. It holds for $f_{1,1} \geq 2$ by the argument as in the previous case. Note that, $f_{1,1} \neq 0$, otherwise by lemma 2 position $k-1 \in F_q$ which contradicts our representation of a set of forbidden positions. Therefore, assume $f_{1,1} = 1$. Suppose $1 + |x| \equiv i \mod k$. If $0 < i < k-1$, then by lemma 1 position i is not forbidden in p. If $i = 0$, then lemma 3 implies $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$. If $i = k-1$, then by lemma 1 we have $i \in F_p$ only if a^{k-2} is a prefix of x, but then p and q are not consecutive occurrences from $\{u, v\}$.

Case 3. Let $p = u$, $q = v$. Suppose $n \geq 2$, and consider arbitrary positions $f_{i,1} < f_{j,1} \in F_q$. We show that either $f_{i,1} + |x| \mod k \notin F_p$ or $f_{j,1} + |x| \mod k \notin F_p$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose both positions are forbidden in p. Then by lemma 1 the word x must have suffix $b^{k-f_{j,1}}$ (if $|x| < k - f_{j,1}$, then $f_{j,1} + |x| \mod k \notin F_p$ by lemma 1). Analogously $b^{k-f_{i,1}}$ must be the suffix of x, and if $|x| < k - f_{i,1}$, then $f_{i,1} + |x| \mod k \notin F_p$ by lemma 1. But then by the same lemma $k + (k - f_{j,1})$ last letters of x are b's. Continuing this argument we get that $\ell k - f_{j,1}$ last letters of x are b's for any positive integer ℓ. It means that there exists no finite word x such that both positions $f_{i,1} + |x| \mod k$ and $f_{j,1} + |x| \mod k$ are forbidden in p. Hence $n = 1$, and $F_q = [f_{1,1}, \ldots, f_{1,m}]$. Since $|F_p| > |F_q|$, by lemmas 3 and 2 there is no j such that $f_{1,j} + |x| \equiv 0 \mod k$. Besides $f_{1,i} + |x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$ for some i. If $i < m$, then $f_{1,i+1} + |x| \equiv 0 \mod k$, which is impossible. Thus, $i = m$. Moreover, $|x| \equiv k - 1 - f_{1,m} \mod k$.

Since 0 is always forbidden in v, we can represent F_q as $\{0, \dots i, j, \dots, k-1\}$ for some $0 \leq i < j \leq k-1$. Then by lemma 3 we have $F_p = \{0, j-i-1, \dots, k-1\}$.

Case 4. Let $p = v$, $q = v$. If $f_{1,1} + |x| \notin F_p$ then $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$. Otherwise by lemma 1 either $f_{1,1} + |x| \equiv 0 \mod k$ or $f_{1,1} + |x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$. In the first case by lemma 3 we get $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$. In the latter case by lemma 1 the word a^{k-2} have to be the prefix of x, which contradicts the fact that p and q are consecutive occurrences.

Now assume that $p = v$, $q = u$, and they overlap. If there exists i such that $f_{i,1} > 2$ then by lemmas 1 and 4 position $f_{i,1} - 2 \notin F_p$, therefore $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$. Note that, $f_{1,1} \neq 0$, otherwise by lemma 2 position $k-1 \in F_q$ which contradicts our representation of a set of forbidden positions. Hence, for all i we have $f_{i,1} \in \{1, 2\}$. It immediately implies that $n = 1$. If $f_{1,j} = 2$ for some j then by lemma 4 we get that $f_{1,j} - 2$ is equal to 0 and $|F_p| \leq |F_q|$. Thus $F_q = \{1\}$ and $F_p = \{0, k-1\}$.

Lemma 5. *Let p and q be two consecutive occurrences of u in a word w, F_p and F_q be the corresponding sets of forbidden positions. If $F_q = \{0, i, \dots, k-1\}$ and $|F_p| = |F_q|$, then $F_p = F_q$.*

Proof. If $i = 1$, then the statement of lemma obviously holds true. So we may assume $i > 1$. Let pxq be the factor of w. Then by lemma 3 we have $F_p \subseteq \{0, |x| \mod k, i + |x| \mod k, i+1+|x| \mod k, \dots, k-1+|x| \mod k\}$. By lemma 1 position $i+|x| \mod k \notin F_p$. Thus in order to have $|F_p| = |F_q|$, it is necessary that $0 \in F_p$ and $j + |x| \not\equiv 0 \mod k$ for all $i+1 \leq j \leq k$. Lemma 2 implies $k-1 \in F_p$. It means that $j + |x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$ for some $i+1 \leq j \leq k$. It is straightforward that $j = k$, $|x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$ and $F_p = \{0, i, i+1, \dots, k-1\} = F_q$.

Lemma 6. *Let p and q be consecutive occurrences of v and u respectively in a minimal uncompletable word w. Let F_p and F_q be the corresponding sets of forbidden positions. If $F_q = \{0, i, \dots, k-1\}$ and $|F_p| = |F_q|$, then these occurrences overlap and $F_p = \{0, i-1, \dots, k-2\}$.*

Proof. If $i = 1$, then trivially w is not a minimal uncompletable word, so $i > 1$. Suppose p and q do not overlap, so there exists x such that pxq is a factor of w. By lemma 3 we have $F_p \subseteq \{0, |x| \mod k, i+|x| \mod k, i+1+|x| \mod k, \dots, k-1+|x| \mod k\}$ and from lemma 1 it follows that either $i+|x| \notin F_p$ or $i+|x| \equiv 0 \mod k$. Moreover, if $j + |x| \equiv 0 \mod k$ for some $i+1 \leq j \leq k$, then we immediately get $|F_p| < |F_q|$. So we may assume that $j + |x| \not\equiv 0 \mod k$ for all $i+1 \leq j \leq k$. First let $|x| > k-(i+1)$. Then by lemma 1 if position $i+1+|x| \mod k$ is forbidden in p, then either $i+1+|x| \equiv 0 \mod k$ or $i+1+|x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$. The first case contradicts our assumption. In the latter case $x = a^{k-2}x'$, but this contradicts the fact that p and q are consecutive occurrences. Thus, both cases are impossible. So $0 \leq |x| \leq k-(i+1)$, but then we have $i+1 \leq j = k-|x| \leq k$. It means that $j \mod k$ is a forbidden position in q and $j+|x| \equiv 0 \mod k$, which again contradicts our assumption that $j+|x| \not\equiv 0 \mod k$ for all $i+1 \leq j \leq k$. Therefore occurrences p and q overlap. By lemmas 1 and 4 we get $F_p = \{0, i-1, \dots, k-2\}$.

Lemma 7. *Let p and q be two consecutive occurrences of v in a minimal uncompletable word w, and let F_p and F_q be the corresponding sets of forbidden positions. If $F_q = \{0, i, \dots, k-2\}$ then $|F_p| < |F_q|$.*

Proof. Consider the factor pxq of w. By lemma 3 we get $F_p \subseteq \{0, |x| \mod k, i + |x| \mod k, i+1+|x| \mod k, \dots, k-2+|x| \mod k\}$. We are going to show that $|x| \mod k$ is not forbidden in p. From lemma 1 it trivially follows that the position $|x| \mod k \in F_p$ if either $|x| \equiv 0 \mod k$ or $|x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$. The first case contradicts minimality of w, for we would have $F_p = F_q$. In the latter case by the same lemma we conclude $x = a^{k-2}x'$, which contradicts the fact that p and q are consecutive occurrences. Similar arguments can be applied to $i + |x| \mod k$. Namely, if $i + |x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$, then again $x = a^{k-2}x'$, a contradiction. So either $i + |x| \equiv 0 \mod k$ or $i + |x| \mod k \notin F_p$. In both cases we have $|F_p| < |F_q|$.

Lemma 8. *Let p and q be consecutive occurrences of u and v respectively in a word w. Let F_p and F_q be the corresponding sets of forbidden positions, and let $|F_p| = |F_q|$. If $F_q = \{0, i, \dots, k-2\}$ with $i > 1$ and $F_p = [f_{1,1}, \dots, f_{1,m}]$, then either $F_p = \{0, 1, i+2, i+3, \dots, k-1\}$ or $F_p = \{0, i+1, i+2, \dots, k-1\}$.*

Proof. Consider the factor pxq of w. By lemma 3 we get $F_p \subseteq \{0, |x| \mod k, i + |x| \mod k, i+1+|x| \mod k, \dots, k-2+|x| \mod k\}$. Arguing as in case 3 of the theorem 3 we easily obtain that either $|x| \mod k \notin F_p$ or $i + |x| \mod k \notin F_p$. Suppose that position $|x| \mod k$ is not forbidden in F_p. Since $|F_p| = |F_q|$ it is necessary that $0 \in F_p$, therefore $k-1$ is also in F_p by lemma 2. Every $i \leq j \leq k-3$ satisfies $j + |x| \not\equiv k-1 \mod k$, since otherwise we would have $j+1+|x| \equiv 0 \mod k$, which would imply $|F_p| < |F_q|$. Therefore, $k-2+|x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$, whence $|x| \equiv 1 \mod k$ and $F_p = \{0, i+1, \dots, k-1\}$. Assume now that position $i + |x| \mod k \notin F_p$. Following the same argument as in the previous case, we get $k-1 \in F_p$ and either $|x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$ or $k-2+|x| \equiv k-1 \mod k$. In the first case $F_p = \{0, i, \dots, k-3, k-1\}$, and it has more than one block of consecutive forbidden positions, which contradicts the statement of lemma. In the latter case $|x| \equiv 1 \mod k$ and $F_p = \{0, 1, i+2, \dots, k-1\}$.

Theorem 4. *The length of a minimal uncomletable word for S_k is at least $5k^2 - 17k + 13$ for $k \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let w be an arbitrary minimal uncomletable word for S_k. By theorem 2 the word u is a prefix of w and v is its suffix. Note that, we have $F_u = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $F_v = \{0\}$ in the aforementioned occurrences of u and v.

If s and t are two consecutive occurrences from $\{u, v\}$ such that $|F_s| > |F_t|$, then we say that s is an *increasing occurrence*. Recall that by lemma 3 it means that $|F_s| = |F_t| + 1$. Since there is only one forbidden position in the last occurrence of v in w and k forbidden positions in the first occurrence of u, there must be at least $k-1$ increasing occurrences in w. Now we are going to estimate the length of a factor between two consecutive such occurrences.

Consider a factor pxq of w such that p and q are the only increasing occurrences inside this factor. Note that, for an occurrence $r \in \{u, v\}$ in pxq, different from p and q (if any), $|F_r| = |F_q|$. Otherwise p and q are not consecutive increasing occurrences.

Let $3 \leq |F_q| < |F_p| \leq k$. Then by theorem 3 we have $p = q = u$, $F_q = \{0, i, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $F_p = \{0, i-1, \ldots, k-1\}$. Moreover, p and q are not the only occurrences from $\{u, v\}$ in pxq. Assume first $i > 2$, i.e. $|F_p| < k$.

Suppose that there is only one occurrence $r \in \{u, v\}$ in pxq different from p and q. Then from lemma 5 it follows that $r = v$ and since $|F_r| = |F_q|$, applying lemma 6 we obtain $F_r = \{0, i-1, \ldots, k-2\}$. But then since $i > 2$ the set F_r does not have the form required by theorem 3 for the condition $|F_p| > |F_r|$ to hold true.

Assume now that $r_1, r_2 \in \{u, v\}$ are the two occurrences in pxq different from p and q. By the same argument as above $r_2 = v$ and $F_{r_2} = \{0, i-1, \ldots, k-2\}$. If $r_1 = u$, then on the one hand by theorem 3 it should be $F_{r_1} = \{1, 2, \ldots, k-i+1\}$. On the other hand by lemma 8 position 0 have to be forbidden in F_{r_1}, a contradiction. If $r_2 = v$, then by lemma 7 we have $|F_{r_1}| < |F_{r_2}|$, which is impossible. So there are at least three occurrences of words from $\{u, v\}$ in pxq except p and q.

Suppose that $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \{u, v\}$. As we have already seen before $r_3 = v$ and $F_{r_3} = \{0, i-1, \ldots, k-2\}$. It immediately follows from lemma 7 that $r_2 = u$. Then F_{r_2} is either $\{0, 1, i+1, i+2, \ldots, k-1\}$ or $\{0, i, i+1, \ldots, k-1\}$ by lemma 8. The latter case contradicts minimality of w, since we would have $|F_{r_2}| = |F_q|$. Assume $r_1 = u$, then by theorem 3 we have $F_{r_1} = \{1, 2, \ldots, k-i+1\}$. Let $r_1 y r_2$ be a factor of pxq. Note that, $0 \notin F_{r_1}$ and by lemma 1 position $i + 1 + |y| \mod k \notin F_{r_1}$. Thus $|F_{r_1}| < |F_{r_2}|$, a contradiction. Therefore $r_1 = v$. This case is possible and exactly this situation takes place in the word presented in theorem 1.

Now we are going to estimate the length of x in this case. By lemma 6 the factor r_3 overlaps with q, so we can factorize x in the following way: either $x = y_1 r_1 y_2 r_2 y_3 b^{k-2}$ or, if r_1 and r_2 overlap, $x = z_1 b^{k-1} a^{k-1} z_2 b^{k-2}$. By the proof of lemma 8, since $F_{r_2} = \{0, 1, i+1, i+2, \ldots, k-1\}$, we have $|y_3| \equiv |z_2| \equiv 1 \mod k$. Let us first estimate the length of z_1. Since r_1 and r_2 overlap, lemma 4 implies $F_{r_1} = \{0, i, i+1 \ldots, k-1\}$. Then by theorem 3 there are $k-1 \mod k$ letters between p and r_1, thus $|z_1| \equiv k-1 \mod k$. So in this case we have $|x| \geq 4k-4$. Now let us assume that r_1 and r_2 are not overlapping. By theorem 3 the factor r_1 must have only one block of consecutive forbidden positions. By lemma 3 we have $F_{r_1} \subseteq \{0, i+1+|y_2| \mod k, i+2+|y_2| \mod k, \ldots, k-1+|y_2| \mod k, |y_2| \mod k, |y_2|+1 \mod k\}$. Note that, by lemma 1 either $i+1+|y_2| \mod k \notin F_{r_1}$ or $i+1+|y_2| \equiv 0 \mod k$. So for the set F_{r_1} to have only one block of consecutive forbidden positions and the same cardinality as F_{r_2} we must have either $1 + |y_2| \equiv k-1 \mod k$ or $i + 2 + |y_2| \equiv 1 \mod k$. In the first case we have $|y_2| \equiv k-2 \mod k$ and $F_{r_1} = \{0, i, i+1, \ldots, k-1\}$, but this is the same as in the case of overlapping occurrences r_1 and r_2, so this is impossible in a minimal uncompletable word. In the second case we have $|y_2| \equiv k-i-1 \mod k$

and $F_{r_1} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-i\}$. Then by theorem 3 we conclude that $|y_1| \equiv i-1 \mod k$. Therefore in this case we have $|x| \geq 4k-3$.

It is not hard to see that, if there are more than 3 occurrences from $\{u, v\}$ different from p and q in pxq, then even if some of them overlap, the total length of the word x is at least $4k-4$. So we conclude that $|x| \geq 4k-4$. Note that, $|x| = 4k-4$ for the word from theorem 1.

Now let $i = 2$, i.e. $F_p = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $F_q = \{0, 2, 3, \ldots, k-1\}$. Arguing as above, by lemmas 5 and 6 there is an occurrence $r = v$ just before q, overlapping with q and $F_r = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-2\}$. If this is the only occurrence and $pxq = pyb^{k-2}q$, then by theorem 3 we have $|y| \equiv 1 \mod k$ and $F_p = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$, so here we have $|x| \geq k+1$. More occurrences from $\{u, v\}$ inside pxq will obviously give a longer factor x.

The previous argument implies that any minimal uncompletable word has prefix $pyb^{k-2}q$ with $p = q = u$ and $|y| \equiv 1 \mod k$. From the symmetry property observed in theorem2 we deduce that any minimal uncompletable word has suffix $q'a^{k-2}\hat{y}p'$ with $p' = q' = v$ and $|\hat{y}| \equiv 1 \mod k$. Clearly $F_{p'} = \{0\}$. To calculate $F_{q'}$ note that, there is an occurrence $r = u$ overlapping with q' and $F_r = \{1\}$. From theorem 3 we deduce that q' is an increasing occurrence and $F_{q'} = \{0, k-1\}$. Let p be the next increasing occurrence. If $|F_p| = 3$, then the same theorem implies that $p = u$, $F_p = \{0, k-2, k-1\}$ and there are at least $k-1$ letters between p and q'. If $|F_p| \leq 2$, then we would obviously require at least k letters between q' and an increasing occurrence with three forbidden positions.

Thus to increase the number of forbidden positions from 1 in the suffix v of w to 2 we need at least $k-1$ letters; from 2 to 3 – at least $k-1$; from ℓ to $\ell+1$ for $3 \leq \ell \leq k-2$ we need at least $4k-4$ letters, and finally, from $k-1$ to k we need $k-1$ letters. Besides we have at least $k-1$ increasing occurrences and the suffix v of w with only one forbidden position. Thus the length of a minimal uncompletable word is at least $3(k-1) + (4k-4)(k-4) + k(k-1) + k = 5k^2 - 17k + 13$.

5 Conclusion

The series of sets S_k was found during exhaustive computational experiment. We searched for maximal with respect to inclusion non-complete sets among all the subsets of $\Sigma^{\leq 3}$; we were interested in such sets having longest possible minimal uncompletable word. We have found two extreme sets up to renaming letters and taking mirror image. Namely, $S_3 = \left(\Sigma^3 \setminus \{baa, bba\}\right) \cup \left(\Sigma^2 \setminus \{aa, bb\}\right)$ and $\left(\Sigma^3 \setminus \{baa, bba\}\right) \cup \left(\Sigma^2 \setminus \{ab, ba\}\right)$. Computation was based on representation of a set S^* as a flower automaton and on the fact that S is non-complete if and only if the corresponding non-deterministic automaton is synchronizing. Moreover, the set of uncompletable words coincides with the language of synchronizing words, see [1] and [3] for more details. The same task for $k = 4$ was unfeasible for a typical laptop, so the search was performed with restriction $|\Sigma^4 \cap S| \geq 11$. There is only one extreme non-complete set up to renaming letters and taking mirror image in this class. Namely, $\left(\Sigma^4 \setminus \{aabb, abaa, abbb\}\right) \cup$

$\left(\Sigma^3 \setminus \{aba, bba, bbb\}\right)$. The length of a minimal uncompletable word for this example is 31 compared to 25 for the set S_k. So S_k is not optimal even for $k = 4$. Thus, the lower bound $5k^2 - 17k + 13$ is likely to be improved. Nevertheless, the most interesting question whether the tight bound is quadratic remains open.

References

1. J. Berstel, D. Perrin, and C. Reutenauer. *Codes and automata*, Cambridge University press, 2009.
2. G. Fici, E. Pribavkina, J. Sakarovitch. *On the Minimal Uncompletable Word Problem*, CoRR, http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.1928, 2010.
3. E. V. Pribavkina. *Slowly synchronizing automata with zero and incomplete sets*, CoRR, http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4576, 2009.
4. N. Rampersad, J. Shallit, Z. Xu *The computational complexity of universality problems for prefxes, suffixes, factors, and subwords of regular languages*, CoRR, http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.0159, 2009.
5. A. Restivo. *Some remarks on complete subsets of a free monoid*, Quaderni de "La ricerca scientifica", CNR Roma **109** (1981) 19–25.